Docket No.: 101673.0014P2

REMARKS

The Non-final Office Action mailed March 17, 2009 (hereinafter, "Office Action") has been

reviewed and the Examiner's comments considered. Claims 1-5, 9-14, 16-20, 22, and 23 are

pending in this application.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-5, 9-14, 16-20, 22, and 23 stand rejected on the ground of nonstatutory

obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of USPN 7,153,276; over

claims 1-10 of USPN 6,786,875; over claims 16-28 of USPN 6,398,743; and over claims 1-2 of

USPN 7,097,633. Claims 1-5, 9-14, 16-20, 22, and 23 stand provisionally rejected on the ground of

nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2-7 and 9 of

copending Application Serial No. 11/468,243.

The Office Action alleges that although the conflicting claims are not identical, application

claims 1-5, 9-14, 16-20, 22, and 23 are not patentably distinct from the indicated patented claims

because the application claims are either more broader recite the same retraction mechanism (USPN

7,153,276; USPN 6,786,875; and USPN 6,398,743;) or recite substantially the same retraction

mechanism (USPN 7,097,633 and Application Serial No. 11/468,243). In the interest of compact

prosecution, but without conceding the propriety of the rejections, Applicants attach terminal

disclaimers to overcome the double-patenting rejections with respect to: USPN 6,398,743;

USPN 6,786,875; USPN 7,097,633 USPN 7,153,276; and U.S. Patent Application No. 11/468,243.

Conclusion

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to

be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to

withdraw the outstanding rejections of the claims and to pass this application to issue. If it is

determined that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the

Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number given below.

2

Application No. 10/698,763 Docket No.: 101673.0014P2

Amendment dated September 17, 2009 Reply to Office Action of April 17, 2009

It is noted that the remarks herein do not constitute, nor are they intended to be, an

exhaustive enumeration of the distinctions between the cited references and the claimed invention.

Rather, the distinctions identified and discussed herein are presented solely by way of example.

Consistent with the foregoing, the discussion herein should not be construed to prejudice or

foreclose future consideration by Applicants of additional or alternative distinctions between the

claims of the present application and the references cited by the Examiner and/or the merits of

additional or alternative arguments.

In the event that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office determines that an extension and/or

other relief is required, Applicants petition for any required relief including extensions of time and

authorize the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection

with the filing of this document to Deposit Account No. 50-2191, under Order No. 101673.0014P2.

However, the Commissioner is not authorized to charge the cost of the issue fee to the Deposit

Account.

Dated: September 17, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Todd W. Wight/

Todd W. Wight

Registration No.: 45,218

RUTAN & TUCKER

611 Anton Blvd, Suite 1400

Costa Mesa, California 92626

(714) 641-5100

Patents@Rutan.com

3